

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usnto.pov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/809,003	03/24/2004	Mikio Shiraishi	16869N-111400US	6791	
20350	7590 06/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			SEVER, A	SEVER, ANDREW T	
EIGHTH FL	ARCADERO CENTER OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-383	4	2851		

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,003	SHIRAISHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Andrew T. Sever	2851	

Defore the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew T. Sever	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		**		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause		
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.i.c.i.c.	(		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 21-30 and 32-41. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:  See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. Other:					
2	MISIEN	William Perkey			
		Primary Examine	r		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

**Application No. 10/809,003** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Takizawa does not teach a single support holder component to which the light valve is directly mounted. The applicant however has not claimed that the light valves are directly mounted to the support holder only that the support holders fix at least one of the light valves to the synthesizing unit. Fixing it to the synthesizing unit does not require the support holder to actually hold the light valve, only that by the use of the holder the light valve is fixed to the synthesizing unit. Accordingly applicant's arguments are not found persuasive and the claims remain finally rejected. It has been noted that applicant has canceled claim 31 in the amendment received on 4/28/2006 accompanying the request for reconsideration, although applicant's arguments state that it is still pending. The office is entering the amendment in order to reduce the number of claims for appeal. Accordingly applicant's arguments are considered to only be addressing 21-30 and 32-41 since any discussion of claim 31 is moot given the cancellation of claim 31.